# Wilmington University College of Education

# **Graduate Special Education Programs**

**Course Number:** MSE 7901

**Course Title:** Assessment and Diagnosis of Reading Difficulties

**Driving Question for the Course:** How do I administer formal and informal reading assessments and use the results of those assessments to plan instruction for students at risk of or already identified with reading disabilities? To address this, we will consider these four related questions:

- In what ways can formative assessment be used to adjust instructional strategies and ensure ongoing support for struggling readers throughout the learning process?
   What specificeci sstcionBDC /(c) orow tpoI (i)-9moortonBD22-1.8 y(h)-0.9 (a) 0.6 (a) 0.7 (n) 3 () v ouppn

Learning Outcome 3 - Candidates assess students' learning, behavior, and the classroom environment to evaluate and support classroom and school-based problem-solving systems of intervention and instruction. Candidates evaluate students to determine their strengths and needs, contribute to students' eligibility determination, communicate students' progress, inform short and long-term instructional planning, and make ongoing adjustments to instruction using technology as appropriate. (CEC 4.1, 4.2, 4.3)

Learning Activities/Performance Tasks:

1. Candidates will admid25TeDs0ht.43.44 1x33002012 (x)002017x1-9rit)c-(5)7006T(1/2079)-4B(d)02027tVc-(029.65944b)e31.2(x)8-60

Week	Week at a Glance Essential Questions
Three	What are the evidence based principles of effective reading instruction for all
	readers, including those with intellectual exceptionalities?
Four	What are some of the interventions used in Tier 2 and Tier 3 of the MTSS model?
Five	How do I select and use specialized instructional strategies appropriate to the
	abilities and needs of the individual?
Six	What strategies can I use to improve reading comprehension and integrate reading
	skills across content areas?
Seven	How can I identify and prioritize areas of the general education curriculum and
	accommodations for individuals with exceptionalities?

## **Assignments and Grading**

1.	Discussion Boards	(20%)
2.	Assignments	(50%)
3.	SEA	(30%)

These required projects are briefly described in the "Learning Outcomes" section of the syllabus. Additional details and resources, including Open Educational Resources (OERs), and the course outline, can be found on the course site.

## **Academic Policies**

Procedure/Guidelines for Receiving Special Accommodations

# **College of Education Attendance Policy:**

In the College of Education, faculty must approve all requests for absences that are exceptions to the University policy. Vacations are not considered legitimate reasons for missing classes. Faculty must be contacted prior to class in all cases except valid emergenci/P  $\angle$ MCID 55 BDC 0 0.502 0 rgaancie(duc)-1.9Td()Tb

It is very important that in week one, you choose a student who you can work with throughout the entire 7 weeks of this course. The student should be identified with a disability If you need to gain permission from the school and/or parents, please make sure you do so prior to beginning any assessments. Also, please remind the parents and school that you are conducting these assessments as part of your course work for the University, and while you will share these results with no identifying data, it is solely for the purpose of facilitating your learning and improving your practice.

For the **SEA**, you will administer a formal or informal assessment in each reading area to a student and create 3 intervention plans based on the results of those assessments. The intervention plans should focus on at least 2 areas of deficit and on Tier 2 and Tier 3 interventions (in addition to Tier I instruction), with your interventions becoming more intense. You will implement your interventions with your student or a small group of students. You will chart your student's progress after the interventions.

You will incorporate evidence-based practices based upon course content. You will record yourself teaching one of the lessons you have written and submit the recording. You will write narratives based upon each step and submit them weekly which will build into your final SEA.

- Administer the Student interest survey and submit the narrative by the end of week 1.
- Record yourself administering these assessments by the middle of week 3. You will also submit your narrative and scoring of these assessments in week 3.
- Submit first lesson plan in week 5 relating to student needs.
- Submit second lesson plan in week 6 relating to student needs
- Submit third lesson plan in week 7 relating to student needs
- Submit a lesson implementation narrative for the lesson you implemented and recorded by week 7.
- Submit the recording of lesson implementation by week 7
- Submit narrative in week 7 with student's progress attached.

For more specific requirements, reference each narrative directions and the SEA rubric.

### Required Narratives:

- Student Reading Interest Narrative (with student surveys attached) Results of Assessments Administered
- Lesson Plan (3 separate lesson plans required)
- Intervention lesson reflection
- Final SEA Narrative

	<b>value: 1.00</b>	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level
Student	Candidate identifies	Candidate identifies	Candidate identifies		
Description	the student's	the student's	the student's		
	disability and	disability and	disability, describes		
	academic levels.	describes the	the student's		
		student's academic	academic and		
		and functional levels.	functional levels, and		
2.1, 2.2			explains the imp-5 8012	1.754 401 Tm(t)-2 (h0 1	BDC (good) Tc -(luBT/P e

value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level
student interests	student interests	information on		
gathered from the	gathered from the	student interests		
survey.	survey.	gathered from the		
		survey might inform		
		the usBMC QIC QIC QIC	C QUIC QUIC QUIC QUIC	DAIC DAIC DAICOI '
		the usbive the field file	on on one of one of	July July July

value: 1.00   value: 2.00   value: 3.00   value: 4.00   Score/L
---

value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level
their choice of content				
or instructional				

value: 1.00 value: 2.00 value: 3.00 value: 4.00 Score/Level

## **Standards**

## CEC 2020 Field and Clinical Experience Standard

#### Standard:

Standard 4: Using Assessment to Understand the Learner and the Learning Environment for Data-based Decision Making - Candidates assess students' learning, behavior, and the classroom environment in order to evaluate and support classroom and school-based problem-solving systems of intervention and instruction. Candidates evaluate students to determine their strengths and needs, contribute to students' eligibility determination, communicate students' progress, inform short and long-term instructional planning, and make ongoing adjustments to instruction using technology as appropriate.

#### **Indicator:**

Component 4.1: Candidates collaboratively develop, select, administer, analyze, and interpret multiple measures of student learning, behavior, and the classroom environment to evaluate and support classroom and school-based systems of intervention for students with and without exceptionalities.

#### **Indicator:**

Component 4.2: Candidates develop, select, administer, and interpret multiple, formal and informal, culturally and linguistically appropriate measures and procedures that are valid and reliable to contribute to eligibility determination for special education services. **Indicator:** 

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level		
			t multiple, formal and informal, cu				
		ures that are valid and reliable to co	ontribute to eligibility determination	n for special education services.			
	Indicator:	o callabanativaly analysis intonna	t and assument acts attached antal massu				
			t, and communicate students' progra planning, and make ongoing adjust				
	Standard:	to inform both short- and long-term	i planning, and make ongoing adjus	stillents to histraction.			
		Using Effective Instruction - Cand	idates use knowledge of individuals	s' development, learning needs.			
		2	Candidates use explicit instructiona	• • •			
I	to promote active engagement an	d increased motivation to individua	alize instruction to support each ind	ividual. Candidates use whole			
			lividual instruction. Candidates tea	ch individuals to use meta-			
	/cognitive strategies to support ar	nd self-regulate learning.					
	Indicator:						
	Component 5.1: Candidates use findings from multiple assessments, including student self-assessment, that are responsive to cultural						
	and linguistic diversity and specialized as needed, to identify what students know and are able to do. They then interpret the assessment						
	data to appropriately plan and guide instruction to meet rigorous academic and non-academic content and goals for each individual.  Indicator:						
	Component 5.2: Candidates use effective strategies to promote active student engagement, increase student motivation, increase						
	-	ance self-regulation of student lear		dent mon varion, moreuse			
	Indicator:	Z	E.				
	-	• • •	ach content, strategies, and skills to	make clear what a learner needs			
	to do or think about while learning	ıg.					
	Indicator:						
	-	lexible grouping to support the use	of instruction that is adapted to me	eet the needs of each individual			
	and group.  Indicator:						
		nize and manage focused, intensive	small group instruction to meet the	e learning needs of each			
	individual.	inze and manage rocused, intensive	sinan group instruction to meet the	c rearring needs of each			
	Indicator:						
	Component 5.6: Candidates plan	and deliver specialized, individuali	zed instruction that is used to meet	the learning needs of each			
	individual.						
Use Results to	Candidate interprets						
Dlan Instruction	accecement results to						

Use Results to Plan Instruction and Interventions

Candidate interprets assessment results to identify general reading interventions.

value: 1.00 value: 2.00 value: 3.00 value: 4.00 Score/	Level	ı
--	-------	---

# CEC 2020 Field and Clinical Experience Standard

Standard 4: Using Assessment to Understand the Learner and the Learning Environment for Data-based Decision Making - Candidates assess students' learning, behavior, and the classroom environment in order to evaluate and support classroom and school-based

value: 1.00

value: 1.00 value: 2.00 value: 3.00 value: 4.00 Score
---

## Indicator:

Component 3.2: Candidates augment the general education curriculum to address skills and strategies that students with disabilities need to access the core curriculum and function successfully within a variety of contexts as well as the continuum of placement options to assure specially designed instruction is developed and implemented

value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level

group instruction, flexible grouping, small group instruction, and individual instruction. Candidates teach individuals to use meta-/cognitive strategies to support and self-regulate learning.

Indicator:

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level
	Indicator: Component 5.3: Candidates use explicit, systematic instruction to teach content, strategies, and skills to make clear what a learner needs to do or think about while learning. Indicator: Component 5.4: Candidates use flexible grouping to support the use of instruction that is adapted to meet the needs of each individual and group. Indicator: Component 5.5: Candidates organize and manage focused, intensive small group instruction to meet the learning needs of each individual. Indicator: Component 5.6: Candidates plan and deliver specialized, individualized instruction that is used to meet the learning needs of each individual.				
Instructional Materials	Candidate selects and uses general instructional materials.	Candidate selects and uses general instructional materials that provide meaningful opportunities to			

value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level
	feedback to the			

student.

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level
--	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------	-------------

# **CEC 2020 Field and Clinical Experience Standard**

Standard:

Standard 3: Demonstrating Subject Matter Content and Specialized Curricular Knowledge - Candidates apply their understanding of the

	value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level
	and assessment data to inform de to promote active engagement an group instruction, flexible groupi/cognitive strategies to support at Indicator: Component 5.1: Candidates use f and linguistic diversity and speci data to appropriately plan and gu Indicator: Component 5.2: Candidates use opportunities to respond, and enl Indicator: Component 5.3: Candidates use of to do or think about while learning Indicator: Component 5.4: Candidates use f and group. Indicator: Component 5.5: Candidates organindividual. Indicator:	cisions about effective instruction. d increased motivation to individuang, small group instruction, and independent of the self-regulate learning.  Indings from multiple assessments alized as needed, to identify what side instruction to meet rigorous accordiffective strategies to promote activance self-regulation of student lear explicit, systematic instruction to teg.  Ilexible grouping to support the use mize and manage focused, intensive	lidates use knowledge of individuals. Candidates use explicit instructional alize instruction to support each individual instruction. Candidates teach, including student self-assessment, students know and are able to do. The ademic and non-academic content and restudent engagement, increase studenting.  Each content, strategies, and skills to be of instruction that is adapted to meet esmall group instruction to meet the ized instruction that is used to meet	Il strategies and employ strategies ividual. Candidates use whole ch individuals to use metathat are responsive to cultural tey then interpret the assessment and goals for each individual. Ident motivation, increase make clear what a learner needs et the needs of each individual	
Reflection on Use of Cognitive or Learning Strategies	Candidate reflects on their ability to use cognitive or learning strategies.  Candidate identifies areas for improvement in vague terms.	Candidate reflects on their ability to use cognitive or learning strategies, realizing any missed opportunities.  Candidate identifies areas for improvement based on prompts.	Candidate reflects on their ability to use cognitive or learning strategies, identifying any areas for improvement.  Candidate explains how their areas of improvement are related toct BMC Qityer	r(ea <b>7</b> er(4 Tm{how)2 ( t)-2	2 (he)-rti(n)1 g

value: 1.00 value: 2.00

value: 1.00 value: 2.00 value: 3.00 value: 4.00 Score/Level

value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level	
	effective strategies to promote active		lent motivation, increase		
opportunities to respond, and enhance self-regulation of student learning.					
Indicator:					
Component 5.3: Candidates use e	explicit, systematic instruction to tea	ach content, strategies, and skills to	make clear what a learner needs		
to do or think about while learnin	g.				
Indicator:					
Component 5.4: Candidates use f	Component 5.4: Candidates use flexible grouping to support the use of instruction that is adapted to meet the needs of each individual				
and group.					
Indicator:					
Component 5.5: Candidates organ	nize and manage focused, intensive	small group instruction to meet the	e learning needs of each		
individual.					
Indicator:					
Component 5.6: Candidates plan	and deliver specialized, individualis	zed instruction that is used to meet	the learning needs of each		
individual.	<del>-</del>		-		

value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level
value. 1.00	value: 2.00	value. 5.00	value: 4.00	DCOI C/ LIC / CI

to promote active engagement and increased motivation to individualize instruction to support each individual. Candidates use whole group instruction, flexible grouping, small group instruction, and individual instruction. Candidates teach individuals to use meta-/cognitive strategies to support and self-regulate learning.

value: 1.00	value: 2.00	value: 3.00	value: 4.00	Score/Level
value. 1.00	varuc. 2.00	value. 5.00	value. 7.00	DCUI C/LC VCI

assure specially designed instruction is developed and implemented to achieve mastery of curricular standards and individualized goals and objectives.

Standard:

Standard 5: Supporting Learning Using Effective Instruction -