Wilmington University

College of Education

Graduate Special Education Programs

Course Number: MSE 8102

Course Title: Implementation of Legislation, Policy and Procedures (3 credits)

Faculty Contact:

Learning Activities/Performance Tasks:

- 1. Candidates create a safe, equitable, positive, and supportive learning environment in which diversities are valued.
- 2. Candidates demonstrate knowledge of how to structure the educational environment to provide optimal learning opportunities for individuals with exceptionalities.
- 3. Candidates demonstrate knowledge of how teacher attitudes and behaviors influence the behavior of individuals with exceptionalities.
- 4. Candidates organize, develop, and sustain learning environments that support positive intra cultural and intercultural experiences.

Assessment: Candidates will analyze how teacher disposition affects the classroom learning environment and student success.

Learning Outcome 5: Teachers of students with exceptionalities select, adapt, and use a repertoire of evidence based instructional strategies to advance learning of individuals with exceptionalities. (InTASC Standard 7; CEC 5)

Learning Activities/Performance Tasks:

- 1. Candidates develop ways to incorporate and implement instructional and assistive technology into the educational program.
- 2. Candidates demonstrate the ability to find sources of specialized materials, curricula, and resources for individuals with exceptionalities.
- 3. Candidates demonstrate an understanding of the use of research supported methods for academic and nonacademic instruction of individuals with exceptionalities.
- 4. Candidates demonstrate knowledge of issues in definition and identification of individuals with exceptionalities, including those from culturally and linguistically diverse backgrounds

Assessments: Candidates create a chart highlighting key accommodations and examples of assistive technology for each disability category. Candidates will reflect on how they can provide the resources and support necessary for students with exceptionalities to master the Common Core State Standards. Candidates create a sample lesson plan that incorporates differentiated instruction.

Additional Course Information and Schedule of Class Activities will be posted on the course blackboard site

Assignments and Grading

- 1. Class Discussions
- 2. ProEthica Learning Modules
- 3 Case Law Summaries
- 4. Written Reflections
- 5. SEA Hot Topic Presentation
- 6. Additional Activities as Assigned

College of Education Attendance Policy:

In the College of Education, faculty must approve all requests for absences that are exceptions to the University policy. Vacations are not considered legitimate reasons for missing classes. Faculty must be contacted prior to class in all cases except valid emergencies. Failure to obtain approval for exceptions may result in lowering the final passing grade or assigning a FA (failure due to absence).

Students who have registered for a course and never attended the class at all will receive a grade of NA (never attended). Early departures and late arrivals will be cumulative toward class absences. It is the student's

responsibility to obtain and complete assignments on the due dates. Students who register and enter the course on the drop/add date have four days to complete the first week's assignments.

Course Materials and Reading List:

1. Abou Rjaily, K. & Stoddard, S. (2017). Response to intervention (RTI) for students presenting with behavioral difficulties: Culturally responsive guiding questions. *International Journal of*

- 24. The UDL Guidelines
- 25. Turnbull, H. R., Turnbull, A. P., & Cooper, D.H. (2018). The Supreme Court, *Endrew*, and the appropriate education of students with disabilities. *Exceptional Children*, 84(2), 124-140.
- 26. <u>Understanding the IEP Process</u>
- 27. Watson, K. (2017). From discrepancy to consistency: Improving SLD eligibility guidelines. *Communique*, 46(2), 32-33.
- 28. What is Differentiated Instruction?
- 29. Wrightslaw
- 30. Yell, M. L., Katsiyannis, A., Ennis, R. P., Losinkski, M., & Christle, C. A. (2016). Avoiding substantive errors in individualized education program development. *TEACHING Exceptional Children, 49*(1), 31–40.
- 31. Yell, M.L., Katsiyannis, A., Losinkski, M., & Marshall, K. (2016). Peer reviewed research and the IEP: Implications of *Ridley School District v. M.R. and J.R. ex rel. E.R. (2012). Intervention in School and Clinic*, 51(4), 253 257.
- 32. Zirkel, PA. (2014). The law in special education literature: A brief legal critique. *Behavioral Disorders*, 39(2), 102-107.
- 33. Zirkel, P.A. (2017). RTI and other approaches to SLD identification under the IDEA: A legal update. Learning Disability Quarterly, 40(3), 165-173.

Understanding Learning | (5 & 5) (6 D) (6 D) (6 D) (8 D)

Faber, J, Glas, C, & Visscher, A. (2018). Differentiated instruction in a data based decision making context. *School Effectiveness and School Improvement*, 29(1), 43-63. doi:10.1080/09243453.2017.1366342

Gregory, G., & Chapman, C. (2002). *Differentiated instructional strategies: One size doesn't fit all*. Thousand Oaks, Calif.: Corwin Press.

Kirby, M (2017). Implicit assumptions in special education policy: Promoting full inclusion for students with learning disabilities. Child & Youth Care Forum: Journal of Research and Practice in Children's Services, 46(2), 175 191. doi: 10.1007/s10566 016 9382 x

Lai, Y., & Vadeboncoeur, J. (2013). The discourse of parent involvement in special education: A critical analysis linking policy documents to the experiences of mothers. *Educational Policy*, 27(6), 867-897.

Morris, R., & Thompson, K. (2008). Juvenile delinquency and special education laws: Policy implementation issues and directions for future research. *Journal of Correctional Education*, *59*(2), 173–190.

Orchard, J., Heilbronn, R., & Winstanley, C. (2016). Philosophy for teachers (P4T) Developing new teachers' applied ethical decision Making. *Ethics and Education*, 11(1), 42 54.

Woodley, X, Hernandez, C, Parra, J, & Negash, B. (2017). Celebrating difference: Best practices in culturally responsive teaching online.

Young, N., & Bittel, P. (2012). Transforming special

Structured External Assignment: Hot Topic Training and "Policy" Manual

During Weeks 1 and 2, you will have the opportunity to explore various 'hot topic' issues in special education. Using a problem of practice selected from the approved list, you will create a training pre

and what the educational impact of those cases were. These summaries may or may not be the same as your Weeks 1 5 case law summaries.

Design a 'solution' or ways that your audience can address or prevent this issue from being a problem in your district. Provide concrete suggestions, examples and resources that could be used. Don't promise things you can't deliver Ex: that the state legislature will change a law, or all schools will get a full time counselor.

Explain in detail how and why your suggestions or resources could help to address the problem of practice. How would these resources or suggestions impact and be used by all members of the educational community including the students, teachers, support staff including paraprofessionals, families, volunteers, related service providers or the broader local community? Make sure you state/know who your target audience is. Your audience

MSE 8102 Hot Topic Presentation

<u></u>							
	Unsatisfactory (1)	Emerging (2)	Basic (3)	Proficient (4)	Distinguished (5)		
Identification of Hot Topic	Candidate does not identify						
or Issue	an appropriate Hot Topic						
	on which to base their SEA.						
InTASC 9	If this is chosen, candidate						
CEC 2, 6							

	Unsatisfactory (1)	Emerging (2)	Basic (3)	Proficient (4)	Distinguished (5)
Proposed "Solution"	Candidate proposes inappropriate solutions	Candidate suggests superficial and/or ill fitting	Candidate provides general solutions and/or	Candidate provides appropriate, well	Candidate provides well
InTASC 4, 10 CEC 6	related to the issues. No or a very weak solution is presented.	strategies or solutions.	strategies that need some improvement to be implementable.	thought out solutions to most issues are provided.	
				Solutions would most likely be implementable.	

Unsatisfactory (1) Emerging (2) Basic (3) Proficient (4) Distinguished (5)

Adherence to Ethical Principles and demonstrate the ability to use professional Practice Standards to Guide Their Practice

CEC 6 InTASC 9